



NCI | CeNC

NATIONAL CITIZENS INQUIRY

**EVIDENCE
TRURO HEARINGS**

NCI | CeNC

**Truro, Nova Scotia, Canada
March 16 to 18, 2023**

ABOUT THESE TRANSCRIPTS

The evidence offered in these transcripts is a true and faithful record of witness testimony given during the National Citizens Inquiry (NCI) hearings. These hearings took place in eight Canadian cities from coast to coast from March through May 2023.

Raw transcripts were initially produced from the audio-video recordings of witness testimony and legal and commissioner questions using Open AI's Whisper speech recognition software. From May to August 2023, a team of volunteers assessed the AI transcripts against the recordings to edit, review, format, and finalize all NCI witness transcripts.

With utmost respect for the witnesses, the volunteers worked to the best of their skills and abilities to ensure that the transcripts would be as clear, accurate, and accessible as possible. Edits were made using the "intelligent verbatim" transcription method, which removes filler words and other throat-clearing, false starts, and repetitions that could distract from the testimony content.

Many testimonies were accompanied by slide show presentations or other exhibits. The NCI team recommends that transcripts be read together with the video recordings and any corresponding exhibits.

We are grateful to all our volunteers for the countless hours committed to this project, and hope that this evidence will prove to be a useful resource for many in future. For a complete library of the over 300 testimonies at the NCI, please visit our website at <https://nationalcitizensinquiry.ca>.

TRANSCRIPT TEAM (English)

Managing Editor
Jodi Bruhn

Transcript Coordinators
Debbie Palmer, Erin Thiessen

Formatting and Analysis Leads
Veronica Bush, Melissa Neville

Whisper AI Transcript Creation
Madison Lowe

First Review

Anjum Ahmad-Donovan, Bill Allwright, Lisa Aschenbrenner, Anne Marie Baxter, Vanessa Behrens-Nicholls, Pamela Boese, Yvonne Cunnington, Michael Darmody, Teresa Dockstader, Heather Domik, Rita Mae Ewanchuk, Chantal Gutfriend, Monika Harynuk, Michelle Hughes, Karen Kimmet, Kathy Ladd, Lori Morrison, Ronald Mucklestone, Melissa Neville, Debbie Palmer, Joanne Plamondon, Susan Reh-Bosch, Elisa Rolston, Tanja Shields, Ronald Simpson, Elizabeth Sleight, Al Smigelski, Darlene Smigelski, Barbara Spencer, Dawn Sutherland Dort, Christine Taylor, Evelyne Therrien, Erin Thiessen, Ada VandenBerg, Rich VandenBerg, Sally Williams

Second Review

Veronica Bush, Elizabeth van Dreunen, Brigitte Hamilton, Rosalee Krahn, Val Sprott

Final Review

Jodi Bruhn, Anna Cairns, Margaret Phillips



NATIONAL CITIZENS INQUIRY

Truro, NS

Day 2

March 17, 2023

EVIDENCE

Opening Statement: Ches Crosbie

Full Day 2 Timestamp: 00:19:04-00:32:11

Source URL: <https://rumble.com/v2djjsi-nci-truro-day-2.html>

[00:00:00]

Ches Crosbie

An existential threat to our democratic way of life occurred towards the democracies in the 1930s. It was called the Great Depression. But when Franklin Delano Roosevelt made his inaugural address as President of the United States in 1932, he didn't tell people to be afraid and stay home. He told Congress and the free world that we had nothing to fear but fear itself. We heard a bit yesterday from Shelly Hipson about how data and statistics were manipulated to make people feel afraid in this province, Nova Scotia. No great nation prospers and grows on a platform of fear. But as a virus spread out from Wuhan China, governments chose to opt for fear and to ignore their own previously approved and adopted pandemic plans, which instructed them to protect the vulnerable, allow others to carry on their lives normally, and maintain public confidence. They panicked into a war against a virus—a war which all reason and experience told them was futile and doomed to failure. And the first casualty of war is the truth.

Many citizens might say the COVID crisis is over. I just want to forget about it, move on. The problem with forgetting about it and moving on is that governments may never relinquish power and control once they have it.

Coercive measures such as injection mandates to travel by air are only suspended, to be brought back whenever government deems necessary. And in many settings, including courts and hospitals, mask mandates are still in effect, despite the evidence of myriads of studies, the latest one being the famous Cochrane review—the definitive study on masking, which reviewed 78 randomized control trials and concluded that masking was completely ineffective. Masking of any type. That came out just two or three weeks ago. And they continue to double down on their advocacy of injections whose efficacy data, you will hear, has turned negative and whose safety is in heavy scientific dispute.

No government in Canada has had the courage to hold independent hearings into their response to the COVID crisis and learn lessons for the future. What went right and what went wrong? Were we told the truth? Did politicians, officials, and media promote and enforce a single government approved narrative, a dominant narrative, about SARS-CoV-2 and suppress alternative competing narratives based in science? If mistakes were made,

what reforms should be implemented to reduce the chance of those or similar mistakes occurring in the future?

Commissioners, at the outset, we should recognize and acknowledge the pain of those many people who lost family and friends to COVID, but we should also recognize and acknowledge the pain of so many people who have lost family and friends to the measures taken to combat COVID. You will hear evidence that these measures include the unscientific suppression of cheap and effective early treatment; deaths from loneliness, despair, and addiction caused by brutal lockdown and isolation methods borrowed from prison discipline; and the unprecedented levels of injury and death caused by experimental injectable products which did not fit the traditional definition of vaccine, and which governments still promotes.

We should also acknowledge the injuries of those who struggle with prolonged symptoms of infection injury from the

[00:05:00]

injectable products and psychological injury from the campaign of fear and isolation. In the face of the COVID crisis upheaval since early 2020, it's only reasonable that this inquiry ask the question governments don't want to ask. Why did so many Canadians die or fall ill both from SARS-CoV-2 and from the efforts to mitigate its damage? Were our national public health responses based on the best possible evidence? And was that evolving evidence constantly re-evaluated to optimize the outcomes for the population as a whole? Were any COVID countermeasures actually counterproductive? And did they result in more harm than good? In other words, did governments use cost-benefit analysis to evaluate their actions; or were their actions, as many citizens suspect, the product of unspoken agendas for profit, power, and control?

Answers to such questions are critical to the future of Canadian democracy, to the individual rights and freedoms which sustain Canadian democracy, and to our future economic well-being. In the absence of government interest in commissioning independent public hearings, a network of volunteers from across this great country has come together out of a desire for a better Canada. The National Citizens Inquiry is entirely citizen-funded and citizen-run, and is therefore entirely independent of any government influence. You Commissioners have sworn to go where the evidence takes you and to make your findings and recommendations based on the evidence you will hear during this inquiry. And the evidence will be disturbing.

The witnesses who have come forward to this inquiry told us almost without exception that they have done so because they want to give voice to a perspective which has been ignored and suppressed in the government-sponsored narrative, enforced by mainstream media. The Commission has invited a large number of politicians, public health officials, and other leaders of the official response to the COVID crisis to appear before you and give evidence at a hearing venue convenient to them, either in person or by video link. If they fail to appear and explain to Canadians their side of the narrative, its basis in science, and why their actions were justified and continue to be justified, it will be because they do not wish to account for their actions to the citizens of Canada. It will not be because they were censored, silenced, or deplatformed by this inquiry.

If leaders of the COVID crisis response do choose to explain themselves to Canadians, they could be asked for their response to the following issue. The AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine program was suspended in Canada due to its risk of causing severe adverse events. The

main one was blood clotting in one in 55,000 inoculated adults—one in 55,000. Why has the same safety standard not been applied to suspend the mRNA program? Dr. Joseph Fraiman, from whom we will hear this afternoon, calculated with colleagues a one in 550 rate of serious adverse events, as revealed by reinterpretation of the clinical trial data, which is the supposed gold standard for knowledge about a new drug. The study by Dr. Fraiman was published in the prestigious journal *Vaccine* and cited by the Surgeon General of Florida in his recent letter to the FDA.

In suspending the AstraZeneca program, our regulator established a safety standard for itself for triggering suspension of a COVID injection program.

[00:10:00]

This standard was one serious adverse event in 55,000 inoculations. Peer-reviewed estimates of the serious adverse event rate for the mRNA vaccines are orders of magnitude higher than one in 55,000. Why have we failed to apply the safety standard we applied to AstraZeneca products to the mRNA injection program? The issue of the safety and efficacy of the injectable products is a leading battleground of government propaganda and a focus of mainstream media suppression of the tsunami of scientific information which contradicts government claims.

Government no longer claims that the mRNA injectable products stop infection transmission. You will hear evidence, and in fact have already heard evidence from Dr. McCullough, that these injections work by the injection of instructions to ourselves to produce a foreign protein on their surface. This foreign protein, the spike protein, is produced in unknown amounts for an unknown time and is interpreted by the body as a toxin. You will hear that the shots have tremendous quality variation in the manufacturing process. They are in fact experimental, no matter how they might be classified legally, with no medium or long-term information about their risks. You'll hear evidence from a Canadian expert, Dr. Denis Rancourt, that these experimental injections have killed more than 10 million people worldwide—more than 10 million people worldwide. You will hear that scientific peer-reviewed literature has delivered the following verdict. An abundance of studies has shown the mRNA vaccines are neither safe nor effective, but outright dangerous—outright dangerous.

Commissioners, the life, safety, and health of our friends and family, the viability of our democracy, and our future national prosperity rest on your deliberations. The Charter of Rights and Freedoms states that Canada is founded on principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law.

God speed you in your task and may God and the rule of law prevail. Thank you.

So that's a big task.

[00:13:06]

Final Review and Approval: Jodi Bruhn, August 3, 2023.

The evidence offered in this transcript is a true and faithful record of witness testimony given during the National Citizens Inquiry (NCI) hearings. The transcript was prepared by members of a team of volunteers using an "intelligent verbatim" transcription method.

For further information on the transcription process, method, and team, see the NCI website:
<https://nationalcitizensinquiry.ca/about-these-transcripts/>

