The Lavinge Show,

NCI Split/Division Guests: Ches & Michelle Feb 2, 2024

Fri, Feb 2, 2024 . 4:14 PM43:550wner: Kari Simpson

SPEAKERS

Jason (45%), Ches (34%), Michelle (21%)

II.

Jason Lavigne

0:00

The new entity out there called the National citizens inquiry and I'm going to be speaking to a group of people who are part of the original believe citizens inquiry Canada entity and we're gonna have a conversation lots of information came out yesterday there was a there was a live that was done super spreaders if you haven't seen it you can check it out after. But what I'm looking forward to today is I get to speak with a chess Crosby hope I say that right chess, I'm really bad with names. And then machine, Michela do Catlin who's going to come on and give us a little bit of information from what's going on. I don't want to use the words, their sides, because if something's going on here, it's not it's not I know enough to know that there's no side, there is absolutely a couple of entities that are working very hard for Canadians across Canada. I love the ideas that I've been hearing. And I absolutely just want to share some more of this information with you. And I'm not gonna spend a lot of time because we only have 15 minutes. So without further ado, Hello chess, which has or chest like I want to say it right.

CC

Ches Crosby

0:59

Yeah, chess like the game. See. This final Newfoundland neck.

JL

Jason Lavigne

1:07

Excellent. Thank you very much for making it here today. And Michelle, thank you for joining us as well. Thank you. Am I getting your last name correct. Catlin?

ML

Michelle L

1:15

You know you actually are maybe one of the only people who have gotten it correct. Oh,

ΙL

Jason Lavigne

1:20

wow, that does not happen around here often at all. Wow, my audience is clapping right now. Hello, Michelle. Nice to meet you. And, and hello, chess, just like the game. Okay, I really don't want to spend a lot of time talking myself. I want to just get into it. So if you don't mind who wants to go first? Chess.

CC

Ches Crosby

1:39

Okay, yeah, you asked me a question. I think what's attracted attention is the perception of division of opinion amongst some members of the National citizens inquiry, which held hearings, all across Canada last year and delivered a report at the end of November.

IL

Jason Lavigne

2:01

Yep. And that information came out yesterday. It's a new announcement. I don't know much more other than there's an entity that's been created. And there. I don't think we can call it division. Is it more of a separation of ideas at this stage? Michelle?

ML

Michelle L

2:15

Well, I want to let chess answer the questions about the NCI in terms of these, this difference of opinion, because that is not a conversation that I had been privy to. That's not that's a conversation within the core group of the NCI where they were talking about what's next for the NCI. So I'd like him to answer those.

IL

Jason Lavigne

2:36

So chess, how about we talk about what is this? What are we talking about? A division of ideas?

CC

Ches Crosby

2:43

Yeah, it's a philosophical, I guess, difference of opinion, which often happens when important things are going on in the life and the history of a nation. So there are some of us involved in the NCI project, who think that it has fulfilled its mission, largely, which was to gather up testimony from Canadians, as to their experience of the governmental response to the advent of COVID-19. And then to deliver a report to Canadians. Responding to it with with recommendations, and we did that so the primary mission, many of these feel has now been fulfilled. And the, the it's important to preserve that legacy for all Canadians, to preserve the testimony, to preserve the report, and to make it available for posterity. And, but as well, of course, mainstream media has seen fit to ignore all of this. And therefore, there's still a lot of the country that doesn't know about the findings and the recommendations. Actually, I met with my member of parliament today, as any of us could probably do to make her aware of this. And she promised to me that she would read it. She's a Liberal Member of Parliament from St. John's East. And so, the philosophy there is that we've achieved our main mission, and now it's a preservation and preservation of accessibility to the report and testimony, mission.

CC

Ches Crosby

4:42

And for a limited period of years, we should continue to use our social media platforms to try and increase public awareness of the report and the recommendations and the

testimony. But then, you know, It reaches a point where it should be preserved. The other philosophy, among some other members who have been very instrumental is that pairing should carry on into the future related subjects and potentially new subjects. And so that's just the philosophical difference of opinion.

CC

Ches Crosby

5:23

This will be resolved now coming up at an annual general meeting of the original nonprofit corporation, the Canadian inquiry Corporation. And the members will vote on whether which of those two philosophies they prefer, keep going with two hearings under the same umbrella, the same organization, or go into a basically a conservation preservation and availability mission, with a time limited period where we can do podcasts, interviews, roundtables, and the like using our social media platforms to try and increase the understanding awareness and knowledge of Canada about what we've done.

IL

Jason Lavigne

6:16

Okay, so if I can summarize quickly there, you just, there's one group that wants to lock it in, and then move it forward for accountability and other things. Prosperity, I think is the way you refer to it. And then there's the other group that just want to keep going with this, and possibly other topics as well. And that's kind of where we're at. And then an AGM coming up will give the both options to the members. Is there room for a third option where they both can exist, or both of these things can exist?

CC

Ches Crosby

6:43

Well, absolutely. Look, personally, I think there's a need for ongoing hearings. So I wish the group who want to carry on that way, the best of luck and success with doing that. It's not a matter of being opposed to carrying on, it's really a matter of drawing a line between the legacy achievements of the NCI, which are extremely important in Canadian history, and drawing, you know, a border between that, and hearings that may be held by a different group of people. And unfortunately, the folks who want to do that and good power to them, have their communications have confused some of the issues between that.

П.

Jason Lavigne

7:34

Yeah, and I can see that. So I guess there's some room to open dialogue and have more communication here, because it sounds like too good paths are available here to Canadians in general. I think a lot of Canadians would love to see more inquiries, even on this subject and additional subjects. And we'd like to see some accountability and prosperity come out of the current one. So what is the current issue? You think at this stage, from your perspective? Is it the name? The entity who runs it? Who wants to stay with? Why is that kind of more of like a,... Are we dealing with more of a structure and legal entity issue and not so much a philosophy issue at this stage?

CC

Ches Crosby

8:15

No, well, you know, I think there there have been misunderstandings. And what we need to do is talk to each other and arrive at a common understanding that the legacy of last year on the report and the testimony needs to be preserved. But there needs to be no confusion between new organization which is going to carry on new hearings under a separate corporate entity. And, you know, I don't like I said, speaking personally, I think that's a great mission to carry on with hearings. But as to the original NCI hearings held throughout last year with the report that resulted from that, I think we want to put a bookend on it and preserve that for the history of Canada.

IL

Jason Lavigne

9:09

I liked that idea. And it cannot be done under the current or the original entity CIC and then have that book ended and CIC kind of not own but runs with it and then didn't new NCI has been created over the last I don't know how long but the announcement came out yesterday. And then they just continue on that mission. It sounds like you're, you're open to that.

CC

Ches Crosby

9:32

Yeah, sure. We just don't want to confuse members of the public and especially the people who followed us all through last year, who gave us such great support, who gave us donations, who donated all their time and I want to thank all those folks from the bottom of my heart for doing all that. But we don't want to, you know, confuse people about the legacy preservation project and the project that others may want to under take of continuing on into hearings that are related to the original subject matter. Good luck, you know, best of wishes to them. But we, we want to have some kind of demarcation line between here's what was achieved during the hearings and the report that emerged from it. And now there are other hearings under a separate organization, and power to them.

H.

Jason Lavigne

10:31

Okay, so that's actually I'm excited. So your organization, how are you going to expand it instead of being inquiry based? Because you're gonna go for prosperity? So you want to be like a library? Do you want to be a place where people can come and have conversations and meet with you? What is the next stages that you're looking at and what you'd like to do?

CC

Ches Crosby

10:50

Well, Jason, I think many voluntary organizations face a kind of a Rubicon, excuse me. And many of them make the mistake of becoming self perpetuating organizations with no end in sight, because people develop, you know, vested positions and an interest in carrying on

forever. There are innumerable philanthropic and charitable organizations like that. The elder Heart Association, you can go on down the list,

JL

Jason Lavigne

11:22

Right.

CC

Ches Crosby

11:22

I think that, personally, and I think many would agree with me that we don't want the national citizens inquiry need to become an open ended, ongoing, you know, inquiry into the state of government in Canada, we did our examination, I think we did it very well, the commissioners did it very well, all of our volunteers and supporters supported us in those efforts, and I want to thank all of them. But now we've achieved our primary mission. And there's a period of time when we can, you know, work on promoting the awareness of that. There, we have several means we have social media platforms, and so on that we can use. But then that needs to come to an end, maybe within three years. I don't know if that's the exact right time period. But all great things need to have an end.

JL

Jason Lavigne

12:21

Okay, and why would you consider the conclusion of this next phase accountability recognition? globally? It's been used in some fashion, what do you envision this next three years looking like? And then what would you call a cap a feather? The cap like it's done? What would what does that look like to you in three years?

CC

Ches Crosby

12:41

Well, this might be something for Michelle to answer, because I know your ideas about that.

JL

Jason Lavigne

12:47

Okay, Michelle, what does the future look like, for this?

MI.

Michelle L

12:51

So first of all, I want to underscore what Ches said about confusing the public. Because, you know, when I was traveling across the country, and listening to witnesses, and talking to them, interviewing them, hugging them, I was so moved, like so many people by their courage. And so I really, the reason I came here was to speak to them, because that's what matters to me most. As I said, I don't, I'm not privy to the information on both sides of this issue about what's next. But I have been thinking about it, like so many people. So I think that there are many things we could do. If we have an atmosphere, of mutual respect of openness, you know, in order to be able to brainstorm in order to be able to be really creative, because that's my background, right?

MI.

Michelle L

13:45

I have media background, create a creative background, you have to be able to let all opinions out, even the bad ones, you know, so off the top of my head, I was, you know, communicating with Ches, and I said, you know, there's a possibility of doing a bus tour, you know, and having a presentation across the country that people can come and participate in, we could get into churches and into high schools, and have a presentation, you know, or have them learn a presentation to teach about this critical moment in history. We could be doing, having university lectures, you know, I don't know what the possibilities are. What I do know is that they are infinite. And so for me, what's really important is that we not confuse people, that we come together that we not succumb to the government's divide and conquer tactics. I think that's what happens. And we've seen that so much in so many organizations, that there's very passionate opinions about what is next. And that can sometimes lead to, I think missing out on the big picture is that we all want to preserve the report, we all want to honor the NCI what we've accomplished, and in particular, the witnesses who testified?

IL

Jason Lavigne

15:09

No, I liked that a lot. And I even like the idea of a tour for getting out there. I like I like all of that a lot. But I'm going through my head right now is, is can we have that if there's going to be some sort of public confusion because it hasn't been settled yet? So is there a way to create like the enforcement arm or the prosperity arm where once the research and hearings are done, it gets packaged up, and then passed over like a baton to the next group, like this group? Who's going to do exactly that? Take it out, because there's really two different departments if you want to look at it like a small business. One is the PR, which is what you're talking about getting it out there. And the other one is r&d, or the research where they're doing the hard work and getting things together? Can they both exist at the same time? And as they continue to do their research and development, they pass over the results to you to take to market like the commercialization phase.

П.

Jason Lavigne

16:01

And this is where I think I think you both can win, because it gives you that prosperity project to go ahead and move forward with once the other group is done. And from an independent standpoint, from a citizen standpoint, this is actually favorable, because we now have a separation between enforcement and laying of the charges, almost, if you want to look at it that way. This might be a good thing to add credibility to all sides. Thoughts on that, Michelle.

ML

Michelle L

16:28

So in terms of any kind of structures, this is nothing. This is not in my purview. You know, I would, I would give that question to Ches.

JL

Jason Lavigne

16:37

Okay. Okay. So Ches if what if there was a PR and an r&d, kind of work together, but separate?

CC

Ches Crosby

16:45

You know, I think the objective is to work together on any project that makes sense. There's been an unfortunate gap in communications between, you know, the people with one philosophy about how to move, while the move forward because preservation of the NCI testimony and report, and the work of promoting awareness of it is still a very important project. Hearings on perhaps allied but different topics is also a very important project. But we need simply there have a demarcation line between the two, so that people don't get confused between one project and the other.

JL

Jason Lavigne

17:32

Yeah, and I think that's kind of what's naturally happening right now. It seems like they have the same name, though. So would one group be willing to change their name, and the wild keeps the NCI and in my mind, and I'm just getting involved because I'm really a third party to this national citizens inquiry as it stands, to me sounds like inquiries may continue to go. Whereas the enforcement, or the accountability or prosperity side, there may be room for a new name there, which allows that demarcation between the two in the public's mind. And then cooperation between the two moving forward. And it doesn't have to be always your group that receives the result of the new NCI, it can be any group that can take it up. But it doesn't have to be an exclusive OR first right of refusal, or things like that. But the first one you're taking on is to COVID report and running with it globally.

IL

Jason Lavigne

18:27

And that allows the other group to not have to worry about PR and that kind of stuff and not have those public pressures and additional pressures that come with that world, and allows them to continue to just be a straight up independent inquiry. And I think I'm excited as a Canadian that we may have both options. And I would even say there's room for a third and that would be the government advocacy, a group whose sole purpose is to try and change policy so that the results from the first group the NCI can actually make its way into the government side of things for new policy, like inquiry, powers or something. So that we actually have a three prong approach. We're doing research, we're bringing it to the government, and we're bringing it to the people. They're collectively working together, but they're individual entities. Am I Am I out of left field because I have an entrepreneur mind and a small business mind. And this is why I'm thinking this way.

CC

Ches Crosby

19:23

Well, Jason, look, all these things are possible. We just have to get together and talk about it. People of goodwill can always work things out. And if we have the interests of the Canadian public, in mind, as I think we all do, there'll be a solution.

IL

Jason Lavigne

19:43

Go ahead, Michelle.

ML

Michelle L

19:44

All right. If you don't mind, I just I noticed there was a suggestion that came across your your scrawl about reconciliation project with churches who pushed the jab, and I really love that idea of reconciliation. I think this As part of, for me the promoting, of the report the promoting of all of us working together. And it really made me think about the reason I took on being the spokesperson for the NCI, which was I really saw it as an olive branch. You know, for me, it was a fresh start. By that point, by the time the NCI had started its hearings, we were very divided, there were those people who had made up their minds on both, let's call them sides. And there are people who were not open to hearing new information.

ML

Michelle L

20:38

And for me the opportunity to say, well, you know, three out of four Canadians have said that they felt harmed by the mandate. So we're here to ask, what did we do, right? What did we do wrong? What could we do better? And this, for me, just really opened up conversations. I think it helped to shift the zeitgeist, you know, it helped to shift the whole mood and conversation in the country. And this is why I'm here tonight is because I want to maintain that kind of atmosphere. So yes, a reconciliation project inside of churches. Yes. It's one of the reasons that I love that we heard from so many vaccine injured people in the NCI, is that there were many people who are unvaccinated who were unwilling to hear those stories. And, of course, those who were vaccinated and and weren't experiencing that injury, felt like they had been betrayed, you know, by their team members. I mean, it was crazy. So even just giving voice to those people was a way to create an olive branch. And I think that's where we need to focus with it with the report.

 ΠL

Jason Lavigne

21:46

I love that. So how many people are current with this, this current group that have that same feeling where they want to go to reconciliation, prosperity moves us along? Do you got a big enough team? Are you looking for more people, Michelle.

MI.

Michelle L

22:00

So I, I have not been involved with this process, as I said, so this has been a very internal conversation difference of opinions as to what's next. I had, I left the NCI as the official spokesperson in December, and not asked to be part of this conversation. And I to be perfectly frank didn't want to be part of it. Because I don't want to be part of anything that's divisive. And I think what's really important is what Ches is saying about, there's no intention here to be divisive. The intention is to allow all opinions have a democratic process, where people can vote and see what's next. And if it ends up with these two organizations, or as you suggest three organizations, that's great. There's room for all of it, we need all of it.

IL

Jason Lavigne

22:46

Okay, I think that's a very good messaging right there. Because I think that's exactly what the other side wasn't sure about. I think what I got from my conversations, all of the last 24 hours, is nobody wants to stop and they don't want to be forced to stop, don't want to keep going. And then at the same time, your side wants to go ahead and get to the next phase, you don't want to wait anymore. The iron is hot. The time's right, Canada's ready for this. So don't delay it. I applaud both sides. plug both paths here, because I think we need them. And that's why I also suggested a third, because who's going to push the government who's going to try and push the courts who's going to get this information in as a judicial notice, because we need to address some of that stuff in the court, we got a lot of matters to deal with too. And that's a different skill set. And that's a different mission, than it would be even the original NCI mission statement.

Ш

Jason Lavigne

23:38

So maybe there's some room for some spin offs. It's okay that the social media stuff has been redone. And I don't think that's the end of the world. But the public confusion is what's absolutely going to happen if this was not nipped in the bud early, because Michelle, they assume its division, even though it's not okay. I've been through a few divisions and I've been helping people fix divisions. This is not it doesn't smell like that at all. What it sounds like to me is to good group of good ideas, different opinions on the next step. And I love them both. That's why I'm suggesting maybe we can maybe just rename one and let the other NCI continue, move over the social media, rebuild the awareness. There's a lot of support in Canada to do exactly that.

JL

Jason Lavigne

24:23

So Ches...

CC

Ches Crosby

24:24

Jason, Jason, why don't we nominate you as the mediator?

JL

Jason Lavigne

24:28

I've already kind of put myself there. So my next call is with Ted. Because last name is Kootz...Kuntz. I've already spoken to Vincent Gersey, Sean Buckley, Teresa Buckley, I'm more than happy to facilitate conversations here would be my honor and pleasure. And this is kind of what we're doing right now. Ches?

CC

Ches Crosby

24:50

Yeah, well, look, Ted and Shawn. I mean, they can't be praised enough. You're aware of people who are out in front of the cameras and Sean Buckley was certainly out front and center for virtually all the hearings, how we would have managed without him is impossible to imagine, he did a fantastic job. Yeah, no one would take any of that away from him. He's a real asset to the NCI is an asset to any future hearings that might be held. He's a wonderful lawyer, Ted Kuntz, you didn't see him in front of any cameras as being phenomenal force supporting the entire effort, and has been a force for information and knowledge about vaccines and their risks for an awful long time, I think he told me arising out of a personal tragedy whereby his son passed away which he attributes to a vaccine.

CC

Ches Crosby

26:00

So Ted, obviously is very committed. And he's done a wonderful job for the NCI and he will do a wonderful job for any future hearings. My only suggestion is we there are a group of us think we need to tie up the NCI legacy achievements with a bow and book-end them fand preserve them for prosterity while we spend a limited period of time, increasing the knowledge of the Canadian public and what what the findings are and what the recommendations are, and full power to those who want to proceed with other hearings, which would include include people like Ted and people like Shawn

П.

Jason Lavigne

26:48

100%. And one thing that I did a lot of work on when I lived in Ontario, was I work with universities, and what universities were really good at, was coming up with good ideas doing research, but what they're not good at is commercialization. So what I did a lot of work of is I worked with groups that were looking for good ideas with the groups that had the good ideas, and then match them up. So that once it was done at the university level, there was a bow on it, it was complete and move to the commercialization stage, which is a completely different mindset and a completely different skill set. So you need different people in that organization.

H.

Iason Lavigne

27:19

And that's what I did for several years, I matched up those types of people. And that's what I see there's an opportunity here, this is more of a spin off, each time they're done a report, it should be spun off, and then something should happen with it, whether it goes into the

courts, the government or global stage. Because we all want more, there's a lot of other issues that we absolutely want to have somebody look into. And the NCI has established a fantastic foundation for that. So I would hate to see it stop. Because some people feel that the book ending it is the right idea. But I also want to see it go to the next stage whenever they're done one.

IL

Jason Lavigne

27:53

So I would love to see this group turn out reports with credibility. And then it goes to the next stage the commercialization stage if you're using my previous analogy there. And that's why I'm excited about this Ches, because I didn't hear any bad will from anybody. I just heard a desire to keep going from one side and the desire to take it to the next stage on the other side. Win win, as far as I'm concerned. So I would be happy to continue talking to everybody to mediate this, then I don't think we're far, Chas, because your AGM could be the decision on, you know, are you going to transfer donations to contribute back to the NCI or not or, you know, some of those other issues. But fundamentally, I think if there's a consensus that there could be a spin off here, we could just start working Monday, get back to work. Michelle, thoughts on that?

ML

Michelle L

28:45

Well, my thought was I saw Wayne Peters posted an evolution rather than division. And that's what I hear and what you're saying. I think that's yeah.

 Π

Jason Lavigne

28:54

Yeah, and there's there's a lot of room for evolution right now, because we absolutely have to grow up a bit and take us forward as a movement, because we're a very young movement, grassroots and all NCI is under two years old. Right? So, and growing pains will happen, especially in a family that loves each other, there's going to be some growing pains. And that's

MI.

Michelle L

29:12

a sorry, I really liked that you say that, because one of the things that occurred to me when I was thinking about this is, you know, we need to focus on our commonalities. And I think people have very passionate, strong opinions. I think people put a lot of heart and soul I know I know Ches has. I know, I know that Shawn and Ted and everybody involved have really put a lot into this. And I think we need to focus there

IL

Jason Lavigne

29:38

100% And there's also belief and faith in there and also personal reflection too, because some people have been touched and harmed by this as well. It's a really interesting mix, but there's nothing but good intention from everybody I've spoken to so Ches it sounds like we have some good movement here. They're down in Mexico right now. I can't get a hold

of him by phone, but I'm gonna have a conversation with him. I'm sure over the weekend. Do I have your okay to kind of chat with them a bit more about this and maybe trying to negotiate or mediate this?

CC

Ches Crosby

30:10

Jason, I think you're talking about Shawn and Teresa.

IL

Jason Lavigne

30:13

And also Ted. I think he's in Mexico. No, Vincent is in Mexico with Ted.

CC

Ches Crosby

30:19

Well, look, anytime people are talking, it's all to the good.

JL

Jason Lavigne

30:24

Wonderful. Okay, well, this one a lot quicker now. Do you guys want to talk a bit more about your desired future? So have a good idea of exactly where you want to head with this, Michelle, because I think you had a bit more clarity on where you'd like to see this in the next few years.

MI.

Michelle L

30:39

Sorry, I wouldn't say I have, I have clarity about one aspect, which I haven't talked about. I don't have clarity on those ideas. They're more just ideas. I think that we need ideas, I think we need to get more creative. You know, we've done certain things, we've done them very well. And I think we need to think of new ways to engage people. So those ideas are just some of them. The other thing that I think is very important that we haven't really talked about is international pressure. So I have been approached by people in the UK, by people in New Zealand, about the possibility of starting NCIs there. And I think that, you know, when this this last communication happened, I thought, wouldn't it be amazing to have, you know, an NCI five eyes, you know, to have to have NCIS, in these English speaking countries all sharing information, or promoting the reports or promoting their hearings? You know, I mean, imagine the size of the audience. I mean, we become harder and harder to ignore, with an audience that big

Jason Lavigne

31:51

100% And I was speaking to a doctor from Australia, just the other day, like three weeks ago, when we had this exact conversation, Michelle, they're talking about starting up there. So I said, Hey, look, do yours. And then let's check our math together, let's put us together and see if we kind of contradict or if we complement each other. And then if Australia and New Zealand, UK, US all do that. There's no question anymore when we're taking it to the Canadian public, because it's not just our version. It's also Australia and these others who

kind of did the same work and came to the same conclusion. Nothing can be more powerful than five reports that come to the same conclusion independently. But collectively when it comes to bringing that forward. i She said yes. She said immediately, yes, they want to get going on this. And that's the inquiry side. Let's jump over to the accountability side. Now, there's another lens, I'm sure if you talk to Vincent Gersey, I spent some time with him, they're looking at criminal charges and working on criminal aspects of this.

JL

Jason Lavigne

32:50

So not civil and not even government but straight a criminal. That's also happening in the background, as well. So I think this is exactly why this is happening right now. Spin this off, go global work with global partners, you've already been contacted, I can connect you to this Australian doctor to and run with it. And then you don't have to worry about things like hey, there's a new hearing, and I gotta go do something with that. No, no, no, you're focused on the global stage and bringing this one forward. Now it's this other group wants to keep doing what they do hold hearings, maybe it's additional COVID information that supports and supplements this report in the future, maybe like an amendment in the future where there's more information that came out since the report was done.

IL

Jason Lavigne

33:32

That can only help I think on a global stage. So yeah, I think I think we're maybe one or two conversations away from from moving this forward. Ches Do you have any any desire to see certain things happen? Or what what would you like to see as a, you know, the next step in the next couple of months with pushing us forward on your side?

CC

Ches Crosby

33:55

Well, I mentioned earlier, I've met with my own Member of Parliament today, and asked everyone out there to do the same thing. There's a wonderful facility on the website that enables you to very easily and quickly send an email to your Member of Parliament has got it all really basically done for you. And that's what I did. It was six or eight weeks ago, but I did get an answer back from her. Her name is Joanne Thompson. She's a liberal Member of Parliament here in St. John's, one of the St. John's districts. And I emailed her back and I said, Yeah, I'd like to meet with you.

CC

Ches Crosby

34:34

She offered me a time and we met that was this morning. She said she'd look at the report. And she'd make inquiries on various issues. So you can't really ask for a lot more than that from a member. If they undertake that they're going to read the report and make inquiries. That's a good beginning point. So I just want to give a shout out to the team, which worked under Teresa, and Shawn, but mainly trace, I think, Who put that website facility together? And thank you everybody for doing that, because it's very valuable, and lost the threat of Oh, yes, you're asked me what I want to see. Well, I want to see more public awareness of the findings in the report.

CC

Ches Crosby

35:27

One of the biggies, of course, is that the vaccines, the mRNA, vaccines that are on still on offer here, they're not just not safe, they're dangerous. And they need to be withdrawn. The Government of Canada seems to be impervious to that. There's no point speculating on motives. But as Tucker Carlson may say, You judge people by what they do. So there,

IL

Jason Lavigne

35:59

I love it. And I had the pleasure of spending the evening with Ken Drysdale, Layton gray and Daniel Freiheit. So I don't know if you know Layton Gray, but he's a lawyer, Kings counsel in Alberta, and Daniel Freiheit, a lawyer in Ontario, and then of course, you know, can try and fail. And the whole entire conversation was how to move forward the NCI report, and have a litigation values and see if there's litigation value to it. So bring it into courts challenge what's going on. And we came up with a whole bunch of ideas, like everybody should send it to their MP, MLA MPP mayor, school board, take it everywhere, because they may not even know about the report, let alone the findings of the report. Take out sections that are appropriate for the doctors and make sure you, you send it to them, leave a copy in the waiting room at the dentist's office, create a poster and put it out there. There was a lot of ideas out there about doing this, when we called it like the guerrilla campaign to get it out there. If somebody has the funds to put up a billboard on Dundas in Toronto do so. But the NCI website will contain the graphics and stuff that can be printed, for free, you can go grab it for free, print your poster, go put it on the post in Toronto, and don't stop there.

\prod

Jason Lavigne

37:11

So there's a very big desire Ches and Michelle, check Ches and Michelle, to go ahead and get this out there. But the one thing that will make it difficult is a feat people feel they have to pick aside. And that's something fell apart. Even the perception of that it doesn't even have to be real. But the perception of that is going to become an issue. So I think we can nip this one in the bud really quick and then just get everybody lined up again, to start the next phase. We just have to divide the the work the skill sets, not the concept or the mission, just the paths forward. And it doesn't have to be a division, it could just be a spin off two heads are better than one, sometimes three could even be better. So we may have something here. Michelle, are you optimistic and open to continuing this conversation?

ML

Michelle L

37:59

Well, I'm opening to I'm open to continuing the conversation certainly. And my as I said, my role as the spokesperson really ended last year after the commissioners report was out. And I'm right now very focused on launching my own podcast. So I don't know what involvement I'm going to have going forward. But I'm hopeful that there are conversations that lead to really unity, right then not as I said earlier, not succumbing to divide and conquer tactics.

 Π

Jason Lavigne

38:31

Oh, that's beautiful. And I'd love to help you with that show. Get you out there even bring you on to let people know about it. And there's a whole group of people, Shadoe Davis, Zach Schmidt, Viva fry, all these people will be very, very happy to assist you on that and get that message out. Because this is the only way we can do it. Now. We don't have mainstream media and we don't even have much ultimate media. So we do have to work together on this. Michelle, are you planning to be more of a spokesperson continue to be more of a spokesperson for this next phase?

MI.

Michelle L

38:59

I have no idea what's next with the NCI? As I said, What once their AGM happens. And once they determine what's next, then I would re examine things but right now, yeah, I'm focused on I am scrambling. My podcast ready because I'm committed to releasing it on Valentine's Day on the anniversary of the enactment of the emergencies act. So I think it's very fitting with the conversation I'm going to be having.

IL

Jason Lavigne

39:29

It's far more fitting that it's my birthday as well. So it's a great birthday present valentine's day every year. And then Trudeau said Happy Birthday, Jason. Here's the EA there was no return.

CC

Ches Crosby

39:41

Michelle, what what emergencies act enactment that was nullified just a few weeks ago.

ML

Michelle L

39:47

I understand that but it did happen. I've seen the horses.

II.

Jason Lavigne

39:51

Yes.

CC

Ches Crosby

39:52

Well, according to the court, it didn't happen.

Ш

Jason Lavigne

39:56

Mostly it's gonna go down in history. We're gonna put a statue up and some We'll tear it down. But we'll at least we'll put it up briefly. Okay, Michelle, and Chaz, let me get you. We'll get you back to your evenings. I think we we've covered enough at this stage. I'm really excited. And yeah, thank you very much for coming on the show to begin with. And I

understand you've watched before Ches. That's exciting for me. But yeah, I'm gonna have some conversations with some more people. I'm getting their phone numbers. And I don't think we are far from being on the same page here at all. So thank you very much for the candor and sharing with me what you want to add any last messages for Canada to kind of excite them on going ahead and getting going. Right now? We don't have to wait anymore.

CC

Ches Crosby

40:39

Flat one, yeah. Increasingly, we're seeing people becoming more and more aware of what's happened in the last four years, which is a rape of our constitutional rights. And I do believe that the truth is robust, and will come out, no matter what the forces of suppression and censorship may be. They are powerful. But the truth is more powerful. And everyone watching this as a role and making sure that the truth comes out.

JL

Jason Lavigne

41:20

Oh, that's amazing. I'm looking, I got the shivers. They're a bit of a goosebumps because, first, his voice is amazing, but his messages is really, really good. You're gonna do great on podcast, by the way, Michelle, you've got the voice for it. But do you have a message for Canada as well at this stage?

MI.

Michelle L

41:34

Well, my I guess my message would be unity. My message would be you know what I saw the lyrics in your opening song. It said if we don't learn to love again, it's all over for us. I wrote it down. If we do love again, it's all over for us. And I don't take that lightly. I don't think love is some, you know, Hallmark card, I think it is the most robust energy strength power we have, you know, and I think that if we stick together if we don't allow those people who perpetrated these crimes to get away with it, and that we unite and focus on what really matters that we will win, and the truth will out.

CC

Ches Crosby

42:14

There's a there's a difference between Michelle and me. I talked about truth. She talks about love.

ML

Michelle L

42:20

It's true

IL

Jason Lavigne

42:22

And both, we need them both. And it's kind of like the right family. Right? Accountability and love comes together and you have something bigger and better. Merci beaucoup, thank you both very much. It's great to finally meet you both. Even under these circumstances, I feel very honored to have spent this time with you. And you're not wrong. If we don't learn

to love again, it's all over for us. So let's move ahead with that when Michelle and thank you very much chess. You guys have a great day.

ML

Michelle L

42:47

You too, Jason.

IL

Jason Lavigne

42:49

Bye, bye. Well, there you go, Canada, perceived problem. Gone. Everybody just wants to talk. And this is what Unity looks like. This is what evolution looks like. And this is exactly what our side can do every single day. It's not about the vision and it's not about win or lose or side or side. It's not a sports. Okay, we're not playing games here. So stop acting that way. Because that's not what was going on here. This is an opportunity, we actually have the opportunity to get a double-edged sword out of this one, two birds with one stone. We got the report and now it's gonna make it accountable. And we can do other things. Beautiful, beautiful, I love it. Folks, I have to get ready for Zach Smith. He's or No, actually it's talking to Google from Winnipeg out media. So another independent journalist is going to be on in 15 minutes. Thank you all very much. You have yourself a great day. You know how I feel about you. I love you all. And God bless

END